The application of combination forecasting methods in energy consumption based on RS
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Abstract

Energy demand is a complex nonlinear system, whose developmental changes have dual trends of increase and fluctuation. Scientifically predicting energy consumption is of great significance to optimize the allocation of energy. The combination can take full advantages of known information to improve prediction accuracy. Via establishing the relative data model of prediction model and prediction object, the data value of discrete attribute is used to establish the knowledge expression system and decision table. Based on RS theory, the weights of the single models in combination forecasting are calculated, which can be used to construct the combination forecasting model and predict the energy demand in China. The results indicate that the average error of combination forecast model is 1.96, which is lower than 3.15, 2.09 and 2.45 of linear regression model, GM(1, 1) and BP neural network.
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1. Introduction

Energy is an important material guarantee for economic development and social progress, as well as a vital strategic material related to the economic lifeline and security of a country, which appears to be the significant factor in the modernization drive. Scientific analysis of China's energy demand is of great significance to the formulation of the correct strategy planning of energy security [1-3]. In recent years, the continuous growth of energy demand has brought the state of imbalance between supply and demand, which has become the focus of the industry and academia. Scholars at home and abroad including related institutions have carried out extensive research on energy forecasting. Many scholars have conducted research on the prediction of energy demand, and some prediction methods are frequently used: the trend extrapolation, ARMA model, BP neural network prediction, grey system, exponential smoothing and moving average. Different forecasting methods have their advantages and disadvantages. They are not mutually exclusive, but are interrelated and complementary [4-5].

Since Bates and Granger first put forward the theory, the research and application of combination forecasting methods have developed rapidly. This paper, based on the fundamental idea of combination forecasting, uses some appropriate methods and integrates the results of various single models to complement on each other and to improve the prediction accuracy and increase the reliability of the effect. On one hand, one of the most important facets of combination forecasting is the determination of the weight coefficient of each individual prediction model, for which influence the final effect; On the other hand, it reflects the importance degree of each forecasting model in the combination forecasting method, which is one of the core contents of rough set theory [6].

Through the analysis of the historical data of energy consumption in our country to deal with, this paper compares the fitting degree with various forecasting models and historical data by analysis of time changing series chart. We finally pick three models of unary regression, GM(1, 1) and BP neural network prediction. The combination forecasting model based on rough set weight allocation is used to predict the energy demand in China, with accuracy comparison, we obtain a practical method of energy demand forecasting.
2. Single prediction model

2.1 Unary regression model

According to China statistical yearbook of energy consumption data (see table 1 column 2), historical statistics of energy consumption in China have been increasing over time, therefore, we choose unary regression analysis to forecast. Using SPSS statistical software to establish prediction model:

\[ Y(t) = 147159.8476 + 120697.1107 t \]  

\[ Y(t) = 147159.8476 + 120697.1107 t \]

\[ Y(t) = 147159.8476 + 120697.1107 t \]

\[ Y(t) = 147159.8476 + 120697.1107 t \]

\( Y(t) \) — Energy demand value

\( t \) — time variable, corresponding values in 2001~2015, 1~15 respectively.

The variance analysis showed that the tail probability of the significance test of unary regression model was less than 0.0001, which decides the ratio \( R^2 = 0.9774 \), \( F = 606.40 > F_{0.01} \), The fitting tests showed its significance and higher fitting precision for the average relative error was 3.15%, from which we can see in Table 1.

2.2 GM(1, 1) Model

The prediction of grey series G (1, 1) Model is a realistic and dynamic analysis and prediction, if the raw data sequence is given, that is \( X^{(0)} = \{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \ldots, x^{(0)}(n)\} \), then continuous data with different lengths are selected as subsequences from the sequence \( X^{(0)} \). GM (1, 1) model is established for subsequence, ensure any sequence of sub data as

\[ X_i^{(0)} = \{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)\} \]

\[ X_i^{(0)} = \{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)\} \]

\[ X_i^{(0)} = \{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)\} \]

\[ X_i^{(0)} = \{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)\} \]

Generate a cumulative sequence of data sequences, we can obtain

\[ X_i^{(1)} = \{x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), \ldots, x^{(1)}(m)\} \]

\[ X_i^{(1)} = \{x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), \ldots, x^{(1)}(m)\} \]

\[ X_i^{(1)} = \{x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), \ldots, x^{(1)}(m)\} \]

\[ X_i^{(1)} = \{x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), \ldots, x^{(1)}(m)\} \]

Structure accumulation matrix \( B \) and constant term vector \( C \), that is

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(1)+x^{(1)}(2)] & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(2)+x^{(1)}(3)] & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(m-1)+x^{(1)}(m)] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(1)+x^{(1)}(2)] & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(2)+x^{(1)}(3)] & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(m-1)+x^{(1)}(m)] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(1)+x^{(1)}(2)] & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(2)+x^{(1)}(3)] & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(m-1)+x^{(1)}(m)] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(1)+x^{(1)}(2)] & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(2)+x^{(1)}(3)] & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{2}[x^{(1)}(m-1)+x^{(1)}(m)] & 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ Y_o = [x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)]^T \]

\[ Y_o = [x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)]^T \]

\[ Y_o = [x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)]^T \]

\[ Y_o = [x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \ldots, x^{(0)}(m)]^T \]

Solve grey parameters \( \hat{\alpha} \) by least square method

\[ \hat{\alpha} = \frac{d}{u} = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T Y_o \]

\[ \hat{\alpha} = \frac{d}{u} = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T Y_o \]

\[ \hat{\alpha} = \frac{d}{u} = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T Y_o \]

\[ \hat{\alpha} = \frac{d}{u} = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T Y_o \]

Establish GM(1, 1) Model

\[ \hat{x}^{(0)}(t+1) = [x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{u}{\alpha}] e^{-\alpha t} + \frac{u}{\alpha} \]

\[ \hat{x}^{(0)}(t+1) = [x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{u}{\alpha}] e^{-\alpha t} + \frac{u}{\alpha} \]

\[ \hat{x}^{(0)}(t+1) = [x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{u}{\alpha}] e^{-\alpha t} + \frac{u}{\alpha} \]

\[ \hat{x}^{(0)}(t+1) = [x^{(0)}(1) - \frac{u}{\alpha}] e^{-\alpha t} + \frac{u}{\alpha} \]

In accordance with statistics of China's energy consumption in 2005~2015, we utilize GM (1, 1) model to build its grey prediction model

\[ \hat{x}(t + 1) = 284036.41 e^{0.056} - 278682.45 \]
Meanwhile, test variance ratio \( C = S_2 / S_1 \) (\( S_2 \) is quadratic mean deviation of residuals, \( S_1 \) is quadratic mean deviation of primitive series), so \( C = 9421.8695 / 58778.9197 = 0.1603 < 0.35 \), while small error probability \( p = 1.000 > 0.95 \). We can draw a conclusion that the established GM (1, 1) model has a good fitting accuracy and the average relative error (MAPE) is 2.09% (See Table 1), which can be used to predict and analyze energy consumption.

2.3 BP Neural network model

According to the historical data of China’s energy consumption in the past 2000-2015, this paper selects data from the first three years to predict the fourth year’s, so 3 neurons are selected in the input layer and 1 neuron in the output layer, then search the hidden layer nodes at about 2-3 times of the input layer nodes. It is found that when the input layer node is 3 and the hidden layer node is 8, the fitting precision of BP neural network is higher. Training BP neural network and modeling on the basis of the following parameters: (1) The number of nodes in the input layer is 3; (2) The number of hidden layer nodes is 8; (3) The output node number is 1; (4) The learning rate is 0.5; (5) Training times is 3000; (6) Network training error is 0.0001; The initial weights and thresholds of the network are automatically selected by the network.

The weight between the input layer and the hidden layer can be calculated by MATLAB programming

\[
v = \begin{bmatrix}
0.1037 & 0.2098 & -1.1530 & 1.4120 & 1.3421 & -1.2260 & 1.0125 & -1.8497 \\
0.2999 & -0.3011 & -0.5730 & -0.2951 & 0.3271 & -0.6432 & 1.1975 & -0.5144 \\
-1.2593 & -1.3400 & 0.2699 & 1.2667 & 0.9159 & 0.3379 & 0.4927 & 0.0389
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The threshold between the input layer and the hidden layer is

\[st1 = [0.6561, 0.6671, 0.6679, 0.5539, 0.7815, 0.1228, 0.9727, -0.0937] \]

The weight between the hidden layer and the output layer is

\[w = [-0.5985, -1.2048, -1.0936, 1.0825, 0.9346, -0.7860, 1.5565, -1.4325]\]

The threshold between the input layer and the hidden layer is

\[st2 = 0.4731 \]

Under the condition that the training times and the overall errors meet the requirements, fitting value and relative error of BP neural network can be seen in Table 1, and the absolute relative error is 2.15%. Consequently, it can be used in the prediction and analysis of energy consumption.

3. Combination forecasting model based on Rough Set

3.1 Determination of weight coefficient in combination forecasting method

The combination forecasting method is described in mathematical language as follows: Suppose that there are m forecasting models to predict the same forecast object, the combination forecasting model composed of these m single forecasting models is the following

\[
y_j(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i y_{ij}(t)
\]

\( y_j(t) \) is the predictive value of \( t \) time combination forecasting model; \( y_{ij}(t) \) is the predictive value of \( i \) prediction model at \( t \) moment \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m) \); \( k_i \) is the weight of the \( i \) prediction model \( (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m) \),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i \geq 0
\]

3.2 Determination method of weight coefficient based on Rough Set Theory

In 1982, Z.Pawlak, a scholar of Poland, put forward the theory of rough set. Rough set is a mathematical tool for dealing with incompleteness and uncertainty, which can effectively deal with inaccuracy, inconsistent, and other incomplete information, from which we can find out the connotative knowledge and reveal potential laws[7]. Based on rough set, the combination forecasting
method transforms the weight coefficient determination issues into the important evaluation issues of attribute in rough set.

The set composed of various forecasting methods is considered as the conditional attribute of decision table, while the observed values of forecast objects are regarded as decision attributes. Then the importance to decision set (prediction index) of various attributes (forecasting methods) is calculated. Finally, the weights of various forecasting methods are determined according to the importance degree. This method fully analyzes the importance of each prediction method from data analysis, and eliminates the subjectivity of combination forecasting method.

3.2.1 Build relational data model

In order to ascertain the weight coefficient in the combination forecasting model, we need to establish relational data model first. Considering each prediction method as condition attribute, then conditional attribute set \( C = \{ y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m \} \); Regarding the index \( y \) of prediction object as decision attribute, then decision attribute set \( D = \{ y \} \); The predictive value of each prediction method and the historical data of the predicted object in the \( t \) period are regarded as a message of some research object \( u_t \), here defines \( u_t = \{ y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m \} \), discourse domain \( U = \{ u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \} \), also called sample set. Then, the attribute value of the research object \( u_t \) is \( y_i(u_t) = y_i(t = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \).

The two-dimensional information table formed of \( u_t \) is the relational data model about the combination forecasting method. Each row in the table describes an object, while each column describes an attribute of an object.

3.2.2 Characterization of attribute values and Building knowledge expression system

In order to analyze the dependency between knowledge and the importance of attributes from each single model, it is necessary to classify the domain and establish knowledge system on the domain. However, the basis of classification is the characterization of attribute value, namely divide attribute values into several eigenvalues according to different feature, then replace them by eigenvalues. The knowledge expression system can be established after characterization.

The knowledge expression system composed of domain, condition attribute and decision attribute can be expressed as \( S = (U, A, C, D) \), among them, \( A = C \cup D \), \( C \cap D = \emptyset \). Usually, the knowledge expression system with conditional attributes and decision attributes is called decision table, and each row in a decision table represents a decision rule. In the domain, the objects are divided into different decision classes in the light of the different decision rules.

3.2.3 Calculation of the weight coefficients of each model[8-10]

(1) Calculate the dependence of decision attribute set \( D \) on condition attribute set \( C \)

\[
\gamma_c(D) = \frac{|POS_c(D)|}{|U|} \quad (11)
\]

\(|U|\)——cardinality of set \( U \), the number of contained elements in a set of finite sets; \(|POS_c(D)|\)——the positive field of decision attribute \( D \) about conditional attribute \( C \)

(2) Delete the NO I prediction model, and calculate the dependence of decision attribute set \( D \) on condition attribute set \( C \{-ci\} \)

\[
\gamma_{c-ci}(D) = \frac{|POS_{c-ci}(D)|}{|U|} \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \quad (12)
\]

(3) Calculate the importance of the \( i \) prediction model in all prediction models

\[
\mu_{c-ci}(c_i) = \gamma_c(D) - \gamma_{c-ci}(D) \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \quad (13)
\]

(4) Calculate the weight coefficient of the \( i \) prediction model
3.2.4 Establishing the combination forecasting model

After calculating the weight coefficients of each single model $k_i$, the weighted combination of each single model can be achieved by using (10), thus we can obtain the combination forecasting model.

3.3 Combination forecasting model and analysis of energy consumption

3.3.1 Combination forecasting model of energy consumption based on Rough Set

According to the prediction results based on the single model (See Table 1), the data in Table 1 is divided into 3 grades by fuzzy cluster analysis, we obtain discrete values instead of attribute values, and establish a knowledge expression system for combination forecasting.

Table 1 Data table of relationship between single model fitting value and energy consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain U</th>
<th>Condition attribute</th>
<th>Decision attribute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Model 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_5$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_7$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_8$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_9$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{10}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{11}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dependence of energy consumption on 3 forecasting models is calculated by formula (12). According to the formula (13), a forecasting model is deleted by sequence, we can calculate the dependence of energy consumption $y$ on the remaining 2 forecasting models $\gamma_{c \rightarrow i_0}(D)(i = 1, 2, 3)$, then the importance of various forecasting models is calculated by the formula (14) and the formula (15) $\mu_D^0(c_i)(i = 1, 2, 3)$ as well as the weight coefficient $k_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$. We can see the results in Table 2.

According to the formula (13), a forecasting model is deleted by sequence, we can calculate the dependence of energy consumption $y$ on the remaining 2 forecasting models $\gamma_{c \rightarrow i_0}(D)(i = 1, 2, 3)$, then the importance of various forecasting models is calculated by the formula (14) and the formula (15) $\mu_D^0(c_i)(i = 1, 2, 3)$ as well as the weight coefficient $k_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$. We can see the results in Table 2.

Table 2 Importance and single model weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{c \rightarrow i_0}(D)$</td>
<td>0.4545</td>
<td>0.4545</td>
<td>0.6363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_D^0(c_i)$</td>
<td>0.1818</td>
<td>0.1818</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the weight coefficient of each model in Table 4, we can establish the combination forecasting model of energy consumption in China based on Rough Set Theory.
\[ \hat{y}_t = 0.5\hat{y}_{t}^{(1)} + 0.5\hat{y}_{t}^{(2)} \]  

(15)

We can obtain the predictive value of energy consumption in 2001-2015 by means of above-mentioned combination forecasting model, and calculate the relative error between 3 prediction models of unary regression, GM (1,1), BP neural network and combination forecasting model, see Table 3.

### Table 3 Relative error of fitting value and relative error of combined model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual value</th>
<th>Fitting value of combined model</th>
<th>Relative error of combined model(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>261369</td>
<td>256009.2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>286467</td>
<td>284293.55</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>311442</td>
<td>301551.05</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>320611</td>
<td>319129.69</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>336126</td>
<td>337044.38</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>360648</td>
<td>355310.76</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>387043</td>
<td>373945.17</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>402138</td>
<td>392964.72</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>416913</td>
<td>412387.31</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>425806</td>
<td>432231.67</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>430000</td>
<td>452517.41</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARE</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from table 3 that the predicted average error of the combination forecasting model is 1.96, while the average error of unary regression model, GM (1, 1) model and BP neural network is 3.15, 2.09 and 2.45. Consequently, the prediction accuracy of combination forecasting model is higher than that of 3 models.

#### 3.3.2 Prediction results based on rough set combination model

The results of China's energy consumption in 2016~2025 based on rough set combination model as shown in Table 4.

### Table 4 Combined forecasting value of energy consumption in China during 2016~2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Unitary regression</th>
<th>GM Model</th>
<th>BP Model</th>
<th>Combined forecasting value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>478328.62</td>
<td>468201.46</td>
<td>430240</td>
<td>473265.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>499026.74</td>
<td>489965.36</td>
<td>432780</td>
<td>494496.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>519724.85</td>
<td>512740.93</td>
<td>433460</td>
<td>516232.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>540422.96</td>
<td>536575.21</td>
<td>434700</td>
<td>538499.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>561121.07</td>
<td>561517.40</td>
<td>435440</td>
<td>561319.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>581819.18</td>
<td>587619.00</td>
<td>435760</td>
<td>584719.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>602517.29</td>
<td>614933.91</td>
<td>436120</td>
<td>608725.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>623215.40</td>
<td>643518.53</td>
<td>436340</td>
<td>633366.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>643913.51</td>
<td>673431.87</td>
<td>436460</td>
<td>658672.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>664611.62</td>
<td>704735.71</td>
<td>436570</td>
<td>684673.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results shows that China's energy consumption reached 4.7326504 billion tons of standard coal in 2016. Besides, it is estimated that 5.6131923 billion tons of standard coal will be reached in 2020 and 684673.67 billion tons in 2025. The annual increasing rate of energy consumption is 4.46%.
4. Conclusion

Using the advantages of rough set theory in dealing with uncertainty problems to determine the weight of each prediction method, the model of energy consumption combination forecasting is established. Through the combination forecasting, it proves that the way has a better prediction accuracy and is higher than the prediction results of the selected models such as one-order-regress model, the GM (1, 1), each forecast model of BP neural network, therefore, it provides a practical new method for energy demand prediction.
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