# Application of Generalized Discrepancy Principles to Tikhonov Regularization for Solving Nonlinear III-Posed Problems

Linjun Wang<sup>1, 2, a</sup>, Qicheng Deng<sup>1, b</sup> and Youxiang Xie<sup>2, 3, c</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hubei key Laboratory of Hydroelectric Machinery Design and Maintenance, College of Mechanical and Power Engineering, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei 443002, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, P.R. China

<sup>3</sup>College of Science Technology, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei 443002, P. R. China

<sup>a</sup>ljwang2006@126.com, <sup>b</sup>578420767@qq.com, <sup>c</sup>xieyouxiangxie@126.com

# Abstract

In this paper we consider nonlinear ill-posed problems in a Hilbert space setting. We propose a class of principle strategies for Tikhonov regularization that lead to optimal convergence rates toward the minimal-norm, least-squares solution of an ill-posed nonlinear operator equation in the presence of noisy data. Our results cover the special case of discrepancy principle of Tikhonov regularization and extend recent results of the convergence rate. In addition, we give conditions that guarantee the convergence rate  $O(\delta^{\frac{2q-p+2}{2(q+1)}})$  and  $O(\delta^{\frac{p}{q+1}})$  for the regularized

solutions, respectively, where  $\delta$  is a norm bound for the noise in the data.

# **Keywords**

Nonlinear ill-posed problems; Generalized discrepancy principles; Convergence and rates of convergence.

## **1.** Introduction

This paper is devoted to a study of non-linear ill-posed problems

$$F(x) = y_0 \tag{1}$$

especially of their treatment by Tikhonov regularization; here  $F: D(F) \subset X \rightarrow Y$  is a non-linear operator between Hilbert spaces X and Y.

As a notion of a "solution" of problem (1.1), we choose the concept of an  $x^*$  – minimum-norm least-squares solution  $x_0$ , i.e.:

$$F(x_0) = y_0 \tag{2}$$

and

$$||x_0 - x^*|| = \min\{||x - x^*|| : F(x) = y_0, x \in D(F)\}.$$
(3)

A solution of (2), (3) need not exist and, even if it does, it need not be unique, since F is non-linear. In the ensuing discussion we assume existence of an  $x^*$  – minimum-norm least-squares solution for the data  $y_0 \in Y$ . In addition, the choice of  $x^*$  is of course crucial. An available priori information about the location of least-squares solutions (defined by (2)) has to enter into the selection of  $x^*$  In the case of multiple least squares solutions,  $x^*$  plays the role of a selection criterion. By the choice of  $x^*$  we can influence which (least-squares) solution we want to approximate.

Throughout the paper we assume that

$$||x_0 - x^*|| = \min\{||x - x^*||: F(x) = y_0, x \in D(F)\}.$$
(3)

(i). *F* is continuous and Frechet differentiable with convex domain D(F) and  $y_0 \in R(F)$ .

(ii). *F* is weakly (sequentially) closed, i.e. for any sequence  $x_n \subset D(F)$ , weak convergence of  $x_n$  to *x* in X and weak convergence of  $F(x_n)$  to *y* in *Y* imply  $x \in D(F)$  and F(x) = y. (Weak convergence and norm convergence will always be denoted by " $\mapsto$ " and  $\rightarrow$  respectively.)

Now for exact data  $y_0$ , the existence of  $x^*$ -MNS  $x_0$  of (1) can be guaranteed. We also assume that  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ ,  $x_{\alpha}$  are interior points of D(F) for each  $\alpha > 0$  and sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , this guarantees that the first order necessary optimal conditions for  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$  and  $x_{\alpha}$  are valid.

The problem of solving (1) is, in general, ill-posed. By ill-posedness, we always mean that the solutions do not depend continuously on the data. In the case of multiple solutions this is understood in the sense of multivalued mappings. To cope with the ill-posedness, problem (1) has to be regularized. A well-known and effective technique is Tikhonov regularization. In this method a solution of problem (1) is approximated by a solution of the minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in D(F)} \{ \| F(x) - y_{\delta} \|^{2} + \alpha \| x - x^{*} \|^{2} \},$$
(4)

where  $\alpha$  is regarded as the regularized parameter,  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$  is the Tikhonov regularization solution, and  $y_{\delta}$  is a  $\delta$ -approximation of  $y_0$  i.e.

$$\|y_{\delta} - y_0\| \le \delta. \tag{5}$$

In the linear case aspects of stability, convergence and convergence rates have been extensively studied, e.g. in [1, 3, 6-9, 12, 16, 19, 21, 24]. In the non-linear case, the role of Tikhonov regularization to stabilize parameter estimation problems has been studied in [4, 5, 13]. Weak stability and convergence for general non-linear problems have been treated in [23].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will present a new simple selection criterion for regularized parameter and study the convergence of the Tikhonov regularization solution. In Section 3 we will show the optimality of convergence for Tikhonov regularization solution under appropriate conditions.

#### 2. Parameter choice and convergence

Under the assumptions on *F* in Section 1, the Tikhonov regularization solutions  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ ,  $x_{\alpha}$  always exist. Without loss of the generality, we assume  $x^* \in D(F)$  and

$$||F'(x^*)^*(F(x^*) - y_0)|| > 0.$$
(6)

To obtain the main result, we make the following assumptions:

 $(H_1)$ . There exists a constant  $K_0$  such that for every  $(x, z, v) \in D(F) \times D(F) \times X$ , there exists  $k(x, z, v) \in X$  such that

$$(F'(x) - F'(z))v = F'(z)k(x, z, v),$$
(7)

where

$$\|k(x, z, v)\| \le k_0 \|v\| \|x - z\|.$$
(8)

 $(H_2)$ . There exists constants  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  such that every  $(x, z, y) \in D(F) \times D(F) \times Y$ , there are  $l_1(x, z, y) \in Y$ ,  $l_2(x, z, F'(x)^* y) \in X$  such that

$$(F'(x)^* - F'(z)^*)y = F'(z)^*l_1(x, z, y) + l_2(x, z, F'(x)^*y),$$
(9)

where

$$|| l_1(x, z, y)|| \le K_1 || y|| || x - z||,$$
(10)

$$\| l_2(x, z, F'(x)^* y) \| \le K_2 \| F'(x)^* y\| \| x - z\|.$$
(11)

Lemma 2.1. Let the assumption  $H_1$  be fulfilled and let

$$K_0 \| x_0 - x^* \| < 1, \tag{12}$$

then the regularization solution  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$  is continuous with respect to  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, +\infty)$ , where

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{K_0^2 \delta^2}{(1 - K_0 || x_0 - x^* ||)^2}.$$
(13)

Proof. Refer to [25, Lemma 2.1] by choosing  $\rho > \frac{K_0 ||x_0 - x^*||}{1 - K_0 ||x_0 - x^*||}$ . For actual computations, one

wants to determine an appropriate value for the regularization parameter from the computations, e.g., from the residual. The discrepancy  $D(\alpha, y_{\delta})$  is defined as the norm of the residual, i.e.,

$$D(\alpha, y_{\delta}) := \| F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^* (F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta}) \|$$

In [26], the parameters choice rule was defined as follows:

$$D(\alpha, y_{\delta}) = \frac{\delta}{\alpha^{1/2}}$$

We'll extend the parameters choice rule above and obtain generalized results. Now in the following, the generalized parameters choice rules will be defined. Now we define a function

$$f(\alpha) = \alpha || x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^* ||.$$
(14)

We will use the solution  $\alpha \coloneqq \alpha(\delta)$  of the equation

$$f(\alpha) = \delta^p \alpha^{-q} \tag{15}$$

as the regularized parameter and show that the convergence of  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ , where p > 0, q > 0. Therefore we have the first order necessary optimal condition for  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ , as follows:

$$F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^{*}(F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta}) + \alpha(x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{*}) = 0.$$
(16)

This implies

$$f(\alpha) = ||F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^*(F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta})||.$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and (12) hold, Then the function f defined by (14) is continuous on  $(\alpha_0, +\infty)$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} f(\alpha) = ||F'(x^*)^*(F(x^*) - y_{\delta})||, \text{ where } p > 0, q > 0.$$

Proof. The continuity of  $f(\alpha)$  on  $(\alpha_0, +\infty)$  is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1. To verify the assertion, noting that

$$|| F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta} ||^{2} + \alpha || x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{*} ||^{2} \le || F(x^{*}) - y_{\delta} ||^{2},$$

from the continuity of F, we have

$$||F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta}|| \leq ||F(x^*) - y_{\delta}||,$$

and

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} ||x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^*|| = 0, \quad \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha ||x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^*||^2 = 0$$

By the assumption  $(H_2)$ 

$$(F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^{*} - F'(x^{*})^{*})(F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta}) = F'(x^{*})^{*}l_{1}(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}, x^{*}, F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta}) + l_{2}(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}, x^{*}, F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^{*}(F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta})).$$

Hence, we have

$$\|(F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^{*} - F'(x^{*})^{*})(F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta})\| \le K_{1} \|F'(x^{*})^{*}\| \|x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{*}\| \|F(x^{*}) - y_{\delta}\| + K_{2}\alpha \|x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{*}\|^{2}.$$

Now from the continuity of F we obtain

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|F'(x_{\alpha}^{\delta})^* (F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta})\| = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \|F'(x^*)^* (F(x_{\alpha}^{\delta}) - y_{\delta})\|$$
$$= \|F'(x^*)^* (F(x^*) - y_{\delta})\|.$$

Then the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.3. Let the assumption in Lemma 2.2 be fulfilled, then for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\alpha := \alpha(\delta)$  such that

$$f(\alpha(\delta)) = \alpha || x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{*} || = \delta^{p} \alpha^{-q}.$$
(17)

Moreover,

$$\alpha(\delta) \ge \overline{\alpha}_0 := \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}\}\delta^{\frac{p}{q+1}}$$

Proof. Note that for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , there holds  $\overline{\alpha}_0 > \alpha_0$ . Therefore, by using (6) and Lemma 2.2, we can derive that  $f(\alpha)$  is continuous on  $[\overline{\alpha}_0, +\infty)$  and  $\lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} f(\alpha) = +\infty$ .

Since

$$\begin{split} f(\overline{\alpha}_{0}) &= \overline{\alpha}_{0} \| x_{\overline{\alpha}_{0}}^{\delta} - x^{*} \| &\leq \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{0}} \delta^{2} + \overline{\alpha}_{0}^{2} \| x_{0} - x^{*} \|^{2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta^{\frac{2q+2-p}{q+1}}}{2}} \delta^{\frac{p}{q+1}} \\ &< \delta^{\frac{p}{q+1}} \end{split}$$

for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we have

$$\bar{\alpha}_0^q f(\bar{\alpha}_0) < \delta^p,$$

which together with the continuity of  $\alpha^q f(\alpha)$ , gives the assertion, then the proof is complete.

Now we give the convergence result of the Tikhonov regularization solution  $x_{\alpha}^{\delta}$ .

Theorem 2.4. Let the assumptions in Lemma 2.3 be fulfilled, for each sequence  $\delta_n$  such that  $\delta_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , let  $\alpha_n := \alpha(\delta_n)$  be determined by (15) with  $\delta$  replaced by  $\delta_n$  and let  $x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}$  be the solution of (4) with  $y_{\delta}$  replaced by  $y_{\delta_n}$ , where  $y_{\delta_n}$  denotes the perturbed data of  $y_0$ ,  $||y_{\delta_n} - y_0|| \le \delta_n$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}\}$  has a convergent subsequence. The limit of every convergent subsequence is an  $x^*$ -MNS. If in addition, the  $x^*$ -MNS  $x_0$  of (1) is unique, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} = x_0$ .

Proof. Suppose there is a subsequence  $\alpha_{n_k}$  of  $\alpha_n$  such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_{n_k} \ge \alpha_0$  for some positive constant  $\alpha_0$ .

By virtue of

$$\alpha_{n_k} \| x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}} - x^* \| = \delta_{n_k}^p \alpha_{n_k}^{-q}, \text{ where } p > 0, q > 0,$$

hence  $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}} - x^*|| = 0$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_{n_k}^q ||x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}} - x^*||^2 = 0$ .

It follows from assumption  $(H_2)$  that

$$\begin{split} \| (F'(x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}})^* - F'(x^*)^*) (F(x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}}) - y_{\delta_{n_k}}) \| \le K_1 \| F'(x^*)^* \| \| F(x^*) - y_{\delta_{n_k}} \| \| x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}} - x^* \| \\ + K_2 \alpha_{n_k} \| x_{\alpha_{n_k}}^{\delta_{n_k}} - x^* \|^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\| F'(x^{*})^{*}(F(x^{*}) - y_{0}) \| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \| F'(x^{*})^{*}(F(x_{\alpha_{n_{k}}}^{\delta_{n_{k}}}) - y_{\delta_{n_{k}}}) \|$$
  
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \| F'(x_{\alpha_{n_{k}}}^{\delta_{n_{k}}})^{*}(F(x_{\alpha_{n_{k}}}^{\delta_{n_{k}}}) - y_{\delta_{n_{k}}}) \|$$
  
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \delta_{n_{k}}^{p} \alpha_{n_{k}}^{-q} = 0,$$

which is a contradiction to (6). Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \tag{18}$$

Notice

$$\|F(x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}) - y_{\delta_n}\|^2 + \alpha_n \|x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^*\|^2 \le \delta_n^2 + \alpha_n \|x_0 - x^*\|^2,$$

we have

$$\|F(x_{\alpha_{n}}^{\delta_{n}}) - y_{\delta_{n}}\|^{2} \le \delta_{n}^{2} + \alpha_{n} \|x_{0} - x^{*}\|^{2},$$
(19)

$$||x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^*||^2 \le ||x_0 - x^*||^2 + \frac{\delta_n^2}{\alpha_n},$$
(20)

which together with Lemma 2.3 gives that  $x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}$  is bounded, hence  $x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}$  has a weakly convergent subsequence. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} \mapsto \overline{x}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . From (18) and (19), we obtain  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}) = y_0$ . By virtue of the weak closedness of *F*, we derive that  $\overline{x} \in D(F)$  and  $F(\overline{x}) = y_0$ . From the weak lower semicontinuity of the Hilbert space norm and (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\overline{x} - x^*\| &\leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^*\| \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^*\|, \\ \|\overline{x} - x^*\| &\leq \|x_0 - x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice  $x_0$  is an  $x^*$  – MNS, we have  $\|\overline{x} - x^*\| = \|x_0 - x^*\|$ . Therefore,  $\overline{x}$  is an  $x^*$  – MNS, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} || x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^* || = || x_0 - x^* ||.$$

Since

$$||x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - \overline{x}||^2 = ||x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^*||^2 - 2(x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n} - x^*, \overline{x} - x^*) + ||\overline{x} - x^*||^2,$$

we have

# 3. Rates of convergence

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{\alpha_n}^{\delta_n}-\overline{x}||=0.$ 

The purpose of this section is to show that the optimal convergence rate can be derived under suitable smoothness conditions according to the regularized parameter chosen in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1. Let the assumptions in Theorem 2.4 be fulfilled. Then, there are constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that, for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , the relation

$$C_1 \le \delta^p \alpha(\delta)^{-q-1} \le C_2 \tag{21}$$

holds.

Proof. From (14) and (16), we have

$$f(\alpha, y_{\delta}) = \alpha || x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^* || \text{ for all } \alpha > 0, y_{\delta} \in Y.$$

This with Theorem 2.4, implies that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} (\delta^p \alpha(\delta)^{-q-1}) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} (\alpha(\delta)^{-1} f(\alpha(\delta), y_{\delta})) = ||x_0 - x^*|| > 0,$$

because of (3). Then it implies the assertion.

Lemma 3.2. Let the assumption in Lemma 2.3 be fulfilled and let  $\alpha \coloneqq \alpha(\delta)$  be determined by (15). If  $x_0 - x^* \in R(F'(x_0)^* F'(x_0))$  and  $(K_0 + K_2) || x_0 - x^* || < 1$ , then there exist constants  $C_3 > 0$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \delta \le \delta_0$ , there holds  $|| x_{\alpha(\delta)} - x_0 || \le C_3 \alpha(\delta)$ 

Proof. Refer to [26, Lemma 4] by choosing  $f(\alpha(\delta)) = \delta^p \alpha^{-q}$ .

Lemma 3.3. Let the assumption  $(H_1)$  hold and let  $K_0 ||x_0 - x_*|| < 1$ , then for each  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there holds  $||x_{\alpha(\delta)} - x_{\alpha}|| \le C_4 \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$ , where

$$C_4 = \sqrt{\frac{4}{1 - K_0 \| x_0 - x_* \|}}$$

Proof. Refer to [26, Lemma 5].

Theorem 3.4. Let the assumptions  $(H_1), (H_2)$  hold, let  $\alpha(\delta)$  be determined by (15). If  $x_0 - x^* \in R(F'(x_0)^*F'(x_0))$  and  $(K_0 + K_2) ||x_0 - x^*|| < 1$ .

Then the following statements are true.

(i). If 
$$p-1=q>0$$
, then  $||x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_0|| = O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , as  $\delta \to 0$ .  
(ii). If  $\frac{3}{2}p-1=q>0$ , then  $||x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_0|| = O(\delta^{\frac{2}{3}})$ , as  $\delta \to 0$ .

Proof. (i). Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 are applicable and yields, together with by now standard estimates of Lemma 3.3, for suitably small  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \| x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_0 \| &\leq \| x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_{\alpha(\delta)} \| + \| x_{\alpha(\delta)} - x_0 \| \\ &\leq C_3 \alpha(\delta) + C_4 \delta \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_3 C_1^{-\frac{1}{p}} \delta + C_4 C_2^{-\frac{1}{2p}} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= O(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

with suitable constants  $C_3, C_4 > 0$  and  $C_1, C_2$  as in Lemma 3.1.

(ii). Similarly as above, Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 yield

$$\| x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_{0} \| \leq \| x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_{\alpha(\delta)} \| + \| x_{\alpha(\delta)} - x_{0} \|$$
  
$$\leq C_{3}\alpha(\delta) + C_{4}\delta\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$
  
$$\leq C_{3}C_{1}^{-\frac{2}{3p}}\delta^{\frac{2}{3}} + C_{4}C_{2}^{\frac{1}{3p}}\delta^{\frac{2}{3}}$$
  
$$= O(\delta^{\frac{2}{3}}),$$

with suitable constants  $C_3, C_4 > 0$  and  $C_1, C_2$  as in Lemma 3.1.

Similarly, we can prove

Theorem 3.5. Let the assumptions  $(H_1), (H_2)$  hold, let  $\alpha(\delta)$  be determined by (15). If  $x_0 - x^* \in R(F'(x_0)^*F'(x_0))$  and  $(K_0 + K_2) || x_0 - x^* || < 1$ .

Then the following statements are true.

(i). If 
$$\frac{p}{q+1} > \frac{2}{3}$$
, then  $||x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_0|| = O(\delta^{\frac{2q-p+2}{2(q+1)}})$ , as  $\delta \to 0$ .

(ii). If 
$$0 < \frac{p}{q+1} \le \frac{2}{3}$$
, then  $||x_{\alpha(\delta)}^{\delta} - x_0|| = O(\delta^{\frac{p}{q+1}})$ , as  $\delta \to 0$ .

# Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Open Fund of Hubei key Laboratory of Hydroelectric Machinery Design and Maintenance (2016KJX01) and Hubei Chenguang Talented Youth Development Foundation.

### References

- [1] B.J. Stable. Solutions of Inverse Problems, Vieweg, Braunschwelg, 1986.
- [2] H.W. Engl, K. Kunisch, A. Neubauer. Convergence rates for Tikhonov regularization of non-linear ill-posed problems, Inverse problems 5 (4) (1989) 523.
- [3] M. Bertero. Regularisation methods for linear inverse problems Inuerre Problems (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1225) ed G Talenti Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] F. Colonius, K. Kunisch. Stability for parameter estimation in two point boundary value problems, J. Reine Angewandte Math., 370 (1986) 1-29.
- [5] F. Colonius, K. Kunisch. Output least squares stability in elliptic systems, Applied mathematics and optimization 19 (1) (1989) 33-63.
- [6] H. Engl. Discrepancy principles for Tikhonov regularization of ill-posed problems leading to optimal convergence rates, Journal of optimization theory and applications 52 (2) (1987) 209-215.
- [7] H.W. Engl, C.W. Groetsch. Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems, Academic, New York, 1987.
- [8] H H.W. Engl, M. Hanke, A. Neubauer. Regularization of Inverse problems in finite dimensional subspaces of Hilbert scales, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 587-592.
- [9] H.W. ENGL, H. GFRERER. A posteriori parameter choice for ordinary and iterated Tikhonov regularization of ill-posed problems leading to optimal convergence rates, Math. of Comp. 49 (1987) 507-522.
- [10] O. SCHERZER, H. W. ENGL, K. KUNISCH. Optimal A-Posteriori Parameter Choice for Tikhonov Regularization for Solving Nonlinear Ill-posed Problem, SIAM J. Numerical Analysis 30 (1993) 1796-1838.
- [11] P.C. Hansen. Analysis of discrete ill-posed problems by means of the L-curve, SIAM review 34 (4) (1992) 561-580.
- [12] C.W. Groetsch. The Theory of Tikhonov Regularization for Fredholm Equations of the First Kind. Pitman, Boston, 1984.
- [13] C. Kravaris, J.H. Seinfeld. Identification of parameters in distributed parameter systems by regularization, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 23 (2) (1985) 217-241.
- [14] P.C. Hansen. Numerical tools for analysis and solution of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind, Inverse problems 8 (6) (1992) 849.
- [15] C.R. Vogel. Computational Mehods for Inverse Problems, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2002.
- [16] V.A. Morozov. Methods for Solving Incorrectly Posed Problems, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

- [17] V.A. Morozov. On the solution of functional equations by the method of regularization, Soviet Math. Dokl, 1966, pp. 414-417.
- [18] V.A. Morozov. Regularization of incorrectly posed problems and the choice of regularization parameter, USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 6 (1) (1966) 242-251.
- [19] F. Natterer. Error bounds for Tikhonov regularization in Hilbert scales, Applicable Analysis 18 (1-2) (1984) 29-37.
- [20] Q.N. Jin. Applications of the modified discrepancy principle to Tikhonov regularization of nonlinear ill-posed problems, SIAM journal on numerical analysis 36 (2) (1999) 475-490.
- [21] A. Neubauer. A posteriori parameter choice for Tikhonov regularization in the presence of modeling error, Applied numerical mathematics 4 (6) (1988) 507-519.
- [22] Q.N. Jin, Z.Y. Hou. On an a posteriori parameter choice strategy for Tikhonov regularization of nonlinear ill-posed problems, Numerische Mathematik 83 (1) (1999) 139-159.
- [23] T.I. Seidman, C.R. Vogel. Well posedness and convergence of some regularization methods for non-linear ill-posed problems, Inverse problems 5 (2) (1989) 227.
- [24] M.Z. NASHED. Generalized Inverses and Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [25] O. Scherzer. The use of Morozov's discrepancy principle for Tikhonov regularization for solving nonlinear ill-posed problems, Computing 51 (1) (1993) 45-60.
- [26] Z.Y. Hou, Q.N. Jin. Tikhonov regularization for nonlinear ill-posed problems, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications 28 (11) (1997) 1799-1809.