Study on the Adaptability of Current Passenger and Cargo Common Line Railway Classification Standards in China

Hang Yin^{1, 2, a}, Yuanfu Li³

¹Business School, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610000, China

²School of Information and Engineering, Sichuan Tourism University, Chengdu 610000, China

³School of Civil Engineering, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu 610000, China

^aYinhang@my.swjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

This article refers to the "China Railway Engineering Construction Technical Standards" and "China Railway Technology Management Regulations" and other related norms and literature. Combined with the actual application of China's railway bureaus, this paper analyses the differences of current passenger and cargo common-line railways at all levels in China from the aspects of the main technical parameters, among which the main technical parameters include roadbed and tracks. On the basis of differentiation analysis, the characteristics of the current passenger and cargo common line railway grading standard are summarized, its adaptability and shortcomings are analyzed, and provide a direction for the research and formulation of new passenger and cargo common line railway grading standards, which has certain guiding significance.

Keywords

China Railway; Classification Standards.

1. Introduction

Different levels of passenger and cargo common-line railways not only reflect the difference in passenger and cargo transportation speed and load, but also reflect the different railway construction standards, technical equipment level, maintenance and maintenance and safety management responsibilities. China's current passenger and cargo common line railway grading standards have been gradually formed after 70 years of development, and have made great contributions to the construction, operation and technical development of railways in China. In order to better adapt to the future development trend of China's passenger and cargo common line railway transportation and the sustainability of railway transportation, through this research, this paper provides a more detailed and reasonable basis for the planning, design, construction and operation management of passenger and cargo common railways.

2. Analysis of the Difference between the Main Technical Parameters between the Current Passenger and Freight Common Railway Classification in China

2.1. The Main Technical Parameters of the Current Passenger and Cargo Common Lines I, II, III and IV Railways in China

Railway grade is not only one of the main technical standards for new railways, but also the basis for determining other major technical standards. The division is related to the selection of other technical standards and structural technical standards, such as the technical standards

of line level, vertical and cross-section, station distribution, effective length of the departure line and station length.

According to one of the main principles of passenger and cargo common line railway grading: taking into account the design speed of passenger trains in the design line, the railways of $160 \sim 200$ km/h are mainly divided.

According to the existing information, the main technical standards of passenger and cargo common line I, II, III and IV railways can be determined, as shown in the table below.

Table 1. Main technical standard parameters of Class I and Class II railways on passenger andcargo common lines

z			Class I Class II						
Passenger train design driving speed(km/h)		250	200	160	120	120	100	80	
Planar curve	Ordinary	4000	3500	2000	1200	1200	800	600	
radius(m)	Difficulty	3500	2800	1600	800	800	600	500	
Regular line s	pacing(m)	4.6	4.4	4.2	4	4	4	4	
Limit slop	e(‰)		Electric power6.0~15.0, Internal combustion6.0~12.0 Electric power6.0~15.0, Combustion6.0~12.0					rnal	
Minimum gradient length (m)		Ordinary 1200,Difficulty 900	Ordinary 600, 400 Difficulty 400 Difficulty 200 Ordinary 600, 400 Difficulty 200 Difficulty 200					due of the n	
Type of tr	action	Electric power	Elec	ctric pow	er, Interr	nal combus	stion		
Type of locomotive		Related to the traffic volume, driving speed and traction constant of adjacent lines of the design line, mainly CRH.	It is related to the traffic volume, driving speed and traction of adjacent lines of the designed line.						
Traction quality		Related to the main technical standards of the railway, such as the type of locomotive, the limited slope, and the effective length of the station to the departure line.	It is closely related to the main technical standards of the railway, such as the type of locomotive, the limited slope, and the effective length of the station to the departure line.						
The effective length of the departure line(m)		650	The length of the freight train and the additional distance of the safe parking should be determined according to the transportation needs.						
Design loads		Zk loads		Ν	1iddle-lo	ads			
Building limits		The provisions of Figure A.0.1 and Figure A.0.3-2 of the Railway Line Design Specification (TB10098-2017, J2399-2017) should be met.	It should con with the provi of Figure A.0.2 Railway Line D Specificatio (TB10098-20 J2399-201	of the of sign on 017,	the Specif	ovisions of Railway L Ìcation (TI 9-2017) sh	ine Desig 310098-2	gn 2017,	

2.2. Differences in the Main Technical Parameters of Current Passenger and Freight Common Railways at All Levels

The design speed of passenger trains corresponding to passenger and freight common railways at all levels is different. The design speed is a comprehensive technical index of the railway. It is an important sign that reflects the level of railway technology equipment, technical standards and operation management, and directly affects engineering investment, transportation costs, economic benefits and transportation quality. The higher the railway level, the higher the passenger train design speed. Among them, the passenger speed of Class I railways is 120 to 200 km/h, and the passenger speeds of Class II, Class III and Class IV railways are 120 km/h and below. The span of Class I railway speeds is very different. Different speed target values correspond to the minimum curve radius, line spacing, minimum slope length, building limit, etc. of the line. To compare and analyze the impact of the technical parameters of each structure with the co-line classification of passenger and cargo, the differences between the main technical parameters at 160km/h, 200km/h and 250km/h are reflected in the minimum curve radius, line spacing, subgrade standards and post-construction settlement requirements of the line, bridge beam type, tunnel clearance, track bed. Thickness, station turnout selection, train operation control mode, disaster prevention and safety monitoring equipment, etc.

2.2.1. Tunnel Technical Parameters

The differences between the technical standards of passenger and freight common railway tunnels at all levels are mainly reflected in the different contour area of the tunnel standard corresponding to different speed target values, the actual section area, the width of the rescue channel surface, and the distance between the rescue channel and the middle line. The main technical parameters selected in different design driving speeds are as follows:

Table 2. Fumer rechinear randiciers								
	Project	Passenger and cargo common line I-class						
	Passenger train design driving speed	250	200	160	120			
	Standard internal autima (m ²)	Single line	70	58	50	42		
	Standard internal outline(m ²)	Double line	100	90	80	76		
	A stual fault area (m ²)	Single line		60	52-53.6	42.06-44		
Tunnel	Actual fault area(m ²)	Double line	100	92	81.37-87.13	76.63-78.35		
	Comfort standard							
	Line distance(m)		5	4.6	4.4	4.2		
	Width (receive channel curte co) (m)	Single line			6.6-6.9	5.6-6.3		
	Width (rescue channel surface) (m)	Double line	12.6	12.2	11.5	10.6-11.2		
	Height (rail ourface) (ra)	Single line			7.65-8.1	7.1-7.78		
	Height (rail surface) (m)	Double line	8.78	8.68	8.15-8.55	8.15-8.5		
	The width of the rescue channel	1.5	1.5	1.25	Generally not set			
	Distance between the rescue channel and the	2.3	2.3	2.2				
	Effective net space(m ²)	100	80	76				

2.2.2. Track Technical Parameters

Because the passenger and cargo common railway is responsible for the passage of passenger cars with higher running speeds and trucks with larger axle weight at the same time, it has a driving effect on the development of rail diseases. Passenger and cargo common-line railways are higher than passenger special lines in terms of abrasions on rails, dirt on the roadbeds, and the outs of fastener pads. The higher the grade, the larger the weight of the cargo train axle, and the more obvious the power effect is than the EMU, which has a greater impact on the track position and track structure.

ISSN: 1813-4890

	Pro	Passenger and cargo common line I-class					
	Passenger train	design drivir	200	160			
		Gauge			Stone tablet:±2 No stone tablet:±1	Stone tablet:+4,-2 No stone tablet:±2	
		Height			Stone tablet:3/10m No stone tablet:2/10m	4/10m	
	Static smoothness of the track(mm)	Rail direction			Stone tablet:3/10m No stone tablet:2/10m	4/10m	
		Level			Stone tablet:3 No stone tablet:1	Stone tablet:4	
		Contort			Stone tablet:3 (Base length6.25m)	4(Base length 6.25m)	
		Gauge				Sharp rail tip:±1 Others:±3,-2	
	Static smoothness of	Height				4/10m	
	turnout(mm)	Rail direction				4/10m	
		Level				4	
		Contort					
	Thickness of gravel road bed	Soil roadbed	Double -layer ballad	Surface		30cm	
Railway				Bottom layer		20cm	
			Single-layer ballad		30cm	30cm	
		Hard rock roadbed	Singl	e-layer ballad	35cm	35cm	
		Thickness of the bed under the bridge		ness of the bed er the bridge	30cm	30cm	
		Horizontal resistance(Kn/Sleeper)			10	10	
	Roadbed state	Longitudinal resistance(Kn/ Sleeper)			12	12	
	parameters	Support stiffness(Kn/mm)			100	100	
		Density(g/cm3)			1.7	1.7	
			Top of the track The inner working surface of the rail head		+ 0.3	+ 0.3	
		Welded joint			0 + 0.3	0 + 0.3	
	Railway					0	
		(mm/m)	Bottom of the track		+ 0.3	+ 0.5 0	
	Grade	e of railway b	First class	First class			

2.2.3. Bridge Technical Parameters

The main differences in the technical standard parameters of bridges of passenger and freight common railways of different grades are reflected in the four major aspects of load types, structure (including beam width, tunnel width, etc.), beam deformation control (including vertical deflection, vertical angle of the beam end, etc.), pier deformation control and culvert. The specific differences are shown in the following table:

ISSN: 1813-4890

Project		Passenger and cargo common line I-class								
	Passer	Passenger train design driving speed(km/h)		250		200			160	
	Load			ZK active load		Mid-active l			oad	
		Width of beam body		12.2m		9.36m			9.1m	
	Structure	Inner net distance of the line center distance contact network pillar		3.0m		2.8m			2.8m	
	(Double line)	Width of the trough		9.0m		8.8m			8.6m	
		General diagram of simple beams (Span 32m)		2.8m		2.7m			2.5m	
				Box beam:777t		T beam:146t			T beam:139t	
				L≤40	L/1400	Span(m)	Single- span	Multi- span	Continuous concrete beam:Side span	
						L≤20	1 /1 000	L/1400	L/800,Middle span	
	Beam control					20 <l≤50< td=""><td>L/1000</td><td>L/1200</td><td>L/700</td></l≤50<>	L/1000	L/1200	L/700	
		Vertical d	deflection	40 <l≤80< td=""><td>L/1400</td><td>50<l≤70< td=""><td></td><td>L/1000</td><td>Simple steel girder:L/900</td></l≤70<></td></l≤80<>	L/1400	50 <l≤70< td=""><td></td><td>L/1000</td><td>Simple steel girder:L/900</td></l≤70<>		L/1000	Simple steel girder:L/900	
Bridge				L≥80	L/1000	70 <l≤96< td=""><td>L/9000</td><td>L/900</td><td>Continuous steel beam:Side span L/900,Middle span L/750</td></l≤96<>	L/9000	L/900	Continuous steel beam:Side span L/900,Middle span L/750	
		Vertical corner of the beam end		Between beams:Stone tablet 4‱No stone tablet 2‰		Between beam and bridge:3‰ Between beams:6‰				
		Beam arches		Stone tablet:20mm No stone tablet:L≤50m, 10mm L>50m, 20mm						
		Horizontal deflection of the beam				1/4000				
	Horizontal ang caused by latera displacement		ngle of break eral horizontal	1.0%		1.0%			Span<40m:1.0‰ Span≥40m:1.0‰	
	Stage tranny control	The deposition of the pier		Uniform settlement: 30mm, 20mm non-ar		Uniform settlement: 50mm			Uniform settlement: 80mm	
				The difference in the settlement of adjacent piers: 15mm in ballast, 5mm in ballastless		The difference in the settlement of adjacent piers: 20mm			The difference in the settlement of adjacent piers: 40mm	
	Culvert	After-dustri:	al settlement	Same-road subgrade standard		100mm			100mm	
	Beam type [universal reference diagram]					[Tongqiao (2016) 2101] or box girder [Tongqiao (2014)2231]				

Table 4. Bridge technology parameter list

3. Conclusion

In order to better adapt to the future development trend of China's passenger and cargo common line railway transportation and the sustainability of railway transportation, on the basis of the study of China's current passenger and cargo railway classification standards, according to the development trend of modern railway technology, we seek to build an upgraded version of passenger and cargo common line railway classification standards based on China's national conditions and road conditions, such as Increase grade or segments, add classification technical indicators, etc. In addition, in the process of grading, in addition to considering the original passenger freight volume, line significance and other factors, we should focus on the impact of speed on the classification of passenger and cargo common line railway classification standards, and provide a more detailed and reasonable basis for the planning, design, construction and operation management of passenger and cargo common railways.

Acknowledgments

National Natural Science Foundation of China (Integration Management of Sichuan-Tibet Railway Construction and Scientific and Technological Innovation, 71942006).

References

- [1] Zhao C, Chen J, Zhang X, et al. Solution of Multi-Crew Depots Railway Crew Scheduling Problems: The Chinese High-Speed Railway Case. 2022.
- [2] Niu F, Wang F. Economic Spatial Structure in China: Evidence from Railway Transport Network[J]. Land, 2022, 11.
- [3] Yan H A, Hz B. The intercity railway connections in China: A comparative analysis of high-speed train and conventional train services[J]. Transport Policy, 2022, 120.
- [4] Tanghong L, Lei W, Hongrui G, et al. Research progress on train operation safety in Xinjiang railway under wind environment[J]. Transportation Safety and Environment, 2022(2):2.
- [5] Li M, Guo W , Guo R , et al. Urban Network Spatial Connection and Structure in China Based on Railway Passenger Flow Big Data[J]. Land, 2022, 11.
- [6] Brautigam D, Bhalaki V, Deron L, et al. How Africa Borrows From China: And Why Mombasa Port is Not Collateral for Kenya's Standard Gauge Railway[J]. SAIS-CARI Policy Briefs, 2022.
- [7] Li C, Zhang J , Lyu Y . Does the opening of China railway express promote urban total factor productivity? New evidence based on SDID and SDDD model[J]. Socio-Economic Planning Sciences, 2022, 80:101269-.
- [8] Wang Y, Wang Z, Ma T, et al. Research on the Realization Path of Railway Intelligent Construction Based on System Engineering. 2022.
- [9] Bhagat N K, Mishra A K, Singh R K, et al. Application of logistic regression, CART and random forest techniques in prediction of blast-induced slope failure during reconstruction of railway rock-cut slopes[J]. Engineering Failure Analysis, 2022, 137:106230-.